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#### Abstract

Intze tank is an important overhead water storage tank, there for it is necessary that it should be constructed keeping in view its economy. To obtain economical design of tank, the proportion of container such as, staging container diameter ratio, height of cylindrical wall container diameter ratio and horizontal angle of dome have been varied as well as no of column for design of staging .To achieve this objective 15 different depth to diameter ratio of Intze tank. For this purpose a computer program in Microsoft excel has been developed .In Microsoft excel program continuity correction have been work out .The design of container is carried out by working stress method but staging is carried out by using limit state method. To get the economical design of Intze tank horizontal angle of conical dome should be 'less than $45^{\circ}$, ratio of height of cylindrical wall and container diameter ratio should be between 0.3 and 0.35 .0 n the other hand staging container diameter ratio effects the economy of higher capacity of tank only.


## INTRODUCTION

A water tank is used to store water to tide over the daily requirement. In the construction of concrete structure for the storage of water and other liquids the imperviousness of concrete is most essential.The permeability of any uniform and thoroughly compacted concrete of given mix proportions is mainly dependent on water cement ratio.The increase in water cement ratio results in increase in the permeability .The decrease in water cement ratio will therefore be desirable to decrease the permeability, but very much reduced water cement ratio may cause compaction difficulties and prove to be harmful also. Design of liquid retaining structure has to be based on the avoidance of cracking in the concrete having regard to its tensile strength.Cracks can be prevented by avoiding the use of thick timber shuttering which prevent the easy escape of heat of hydration from the concrete mass the risk of cracking can also be minimized by reducing the restraints on free expansion or contraction of the structure.

## Objective

(i) Elevated water tank construction is complex process as well as costly affair, hence it is important to check impact of varying size on dimensions of different component of Intze tank.
(ii) To develop excel sheet for design of Intze tank.
METHODOLOGY


## Intze tanks:

This is a special type of elevated tank used for very large capacities. Circular tanks for very large capacities prove to be uneconomical when
flat bottom slab is provide Intze type tank consist of top dome supported on a ring beam which rests on a cylindrical wall.The walls are supported on ring beam and conical slab. Bottom dome will also be provided which is also supported by ring beam.
The conical and bottom dome are made in such a manner that the horizontal thrust from conical base is balanced by that from the bottom dome. The conical and bottom domes are supported on a circular beam which is in turn, supported on a number of columns. For large capacities the tank is divided into two compartments by means of partition walls supported on a circular beam.
Following are the components
(i) Top dome
(ii) Ring Beam supporting the top dome.
(iii) Cylindrical wall.
(iv) Ring beam at the junction of the cylindrical wall and the conical shell.
(v) Conical shell.
(vi) Bottom dome.
(vii) The ring girder.
(viii) Columns braces.
(ix) Foundations


## RESULTS AND GRAPHS

TABLE NO 5.1 H/D Ratio \& Top Beam Size

| H/D RATIO | TOP BEAM SIZE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H/D | B | t |
| 0.1 | 200 | 200 |
| 0.2 | 200 | 200 |
| 0.3 | 300 | 300 |
| 0.4 | 300 | 300 |
| 0.5 | 300 | 300 |
| 0.6 | 300 | 300 |
| 0.7 | 300 | 300 |
| 0.8 | 300 | 300 |
| 0.9 | 300 | 300 |
| 1 | 300 | 300 |
| 1.2 | 300 | 300 |
| 1.4 | 200 | 200 |
| 1.6 | 200 | 200 |
| 1.8 | 200 | 200 |
| 2 | 200 | 200 |



Graph 5.1Graphs between H/D Ratio \& Top Beam Size
Table No 5.2 H/D Ratio \& Dia Of Tank

| H/D Ratio | Dia Of Tank |
| :---: | :---: |
| H/D | D |
| 0.1 | 19 |
| 0.2 | 15 |
| 0.3 | 13 |


| 0.4 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 11 |
| 0.6 | 10 |
| 0.7 | 10 |
| 0.8 | 9 |
| 0.9 | 9 |
| 1 | 9 |
| 1.2 | 7 |
| 1.4 | 5 |
| 1.6 | 5 |
| 1.8 | 4 |
| 2 | 4 |



Graph No 5.2 Graph between H/D Ratio \& Dia Of Tank

Table No 5.3 H/D Ratio \& Bottom Ring Beam Size

| H/D Ratio | Bottom Ring Beam Size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H/D | B | T |
| 0.1 | 1900 | 950 |
| 0.2 | 1500 | 750 |
| 0.3 | 1300 | 650 |
| 0.4 | 1200 | 600 |
| 0.5 | 1100 | 550 |
| 0.6 | 1000 | 500 |
| 0.7 | 1000 | 500 |
| 0.8 | 933 | 467 |
| 0.9 | 1067 | 533 |
| 1 | 1067 | 533 |
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| 1.2 | 1733 | 867 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.4 | 2800 | 1400 |
| 1.6 | 3733 | 1867 |
| 1.8 | 4533 | 2267 |
| 2 | 5200 | 2600 |



Graph No 5.3 Graph H/D Ratio \& Bottom Ring Beam Size


Graph No 5.4 Graph between H/D Ratio \& Bottom Ring Beam Size
Table No 5.4 H/D Ratio \& Bottom Conical Thickness

| H/D Ratio | Bottom Conical <br> Thickness |
| :---: | :---: |
| H/D | T |
| 0.1 | 950 |
| 0.2 | 750 |
| 0.3 | 650 |
| 0.4 | 600 |
| 0.5 | 550 |
| 0.6 | 500 |
| 0.7 | 500 |



Graph No 5.5 Graph between H/D Ratio \& Bottom Conical Thickness

Table No 5.5 H/D Ratio \& Circular Column Dia.

| H/D Ratio | Circular Column Dia. |
| :---: | :---: |
| H/D | D |
| 0.1 | 1000 |
| 0.2 | 800 |
| 0.3 | 700 |
| 0.4 | 650 |
| 0.5 | 600 |
| 0.6 | 550 |
| 0.7 | 550 |
| 0.8 | 517 |
| 0.9 | 583 |
| 1 | 583 |
| 1.2 | 917 |
| 1.4 | 1450 |
| 1.6 | 1917 |
| 1.8 | 2317 |

$\qquad$


Graph No 5.6 Graph between H/D Ratio \& Circular Column Dia.

Table No 5.6 H/D Ratio \& Circular Bottom Girder for Raft

| H/D Ratio | Circular Bottom Girder <br> For Raft |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | B |
| 0.1 | 1000 | 700 |
| 0.2 | 900 | 600 |
| 0.3 | 800 | 700 |
| 0.4 | 750 | 700 |
| 0.5 | 700 | 700 |
| 0.6 | 650 | 700 |
| 0.7 | 650 | 700 |
| 0.8 | 617 | 700 |
| 0.9 | 683 | 800 |
| 1 | 683 | 800 |
| 1.2 | 1017 | 800 |
| 1.4 | 1550 | 900 |
| 1.6 | 2017 | 1000 |
| 1.8 | 2417 | 1000 |
| 2 | 2750 | 1100 |



Graph No 5.7 Graph between H/D Ratio \& Circular Bottom Girder for Raft


Graph No 5.8 Graph between H/D Ratio \& Circular Bottom Girder for Raft

Table No 5.7 H/D Ratio \& Bottom Spherical Dom Thickness

| H/D Ratio | Bottom Spherical Dom <br> Thickness |
| :---: | :---: |
| H/D | T |
| 0.1 | 475 |
| 0.2 | 375 |
| 0.3 | 325 |
| 0.4 | 300 |
| 0.5 | 275 |
| 0.6 | 250 |
| 0.7 | 250 |
| 0.8 | 233 |
| 0.9 | 267 |
| 1 | 267 |
| 1.2 | 433 |
| 1.4 | 700 |



## Graph No 5.10 Graph between H/D Ratio \&Height Of Cylindrical Wall

## CONCLUSIONS

Traditional decision of size of tank depends upon available of land.in this work a study has been done to optimize size of tank to reduce material consumption from the study it has been observed when height of tank has subsitunal impact on Intze tank construction. When aspect ratio was change 0.1 to 2.0 in this pursuit it has been observed initially the material consumption is high which reduces with change of aspect ratio there after material consumption increase substantially the material construction is almost double. When aspect ratio is $(1 / 0.1)$ ( $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{h}$ ).this reduce till ( $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{h})(1 / 0.8)$ there after this hence substantially .when $(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{h})(1 / 1.2)$ the material consumption is approximately double than $(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{h})(1 / 0.8)$ the martial consumption increases in tress folds at $(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{h})(1 / 1.4)$ in case ( $1 / 1.6$ ) the material consumption is four times the minimum. There after rate of increase of material consumption reduces to 21 to $40 \%$.
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